arXiv:1604.04344v1 [cs.LO] 15 Apr 2016

Some Closed Classes of Three-Valued Logic Generated by Periodic Symmetric Functions

A. V. Mikhailovich*

April, 2016

Abstract

Closed classes of three-valued logic generated by periodic symmetric funtions that equal 1 in tuples from $\{1,2\}^n$ and equal 0 on the rest tuples are considered. Criteria for bases existence and finite bases existence for these classes is obtained.

The problem of the bases existence for some families of closed classes of the three-valued logic functions is considered in the paper. E. Post [1] (see also, for instance, [2]) described all closed classes of Boolean functions and showed that each such class has a finite basis. This result is not extendable to the case of k-valued logics for $k \geq 3$. Ju. I. Janov and A. A. Muchnik [3] (see also, for instance, [2]) showed that for all $k \geq 3$ the set P_k (here P_k is the set of all functions of the k-valued logic) contains closed classes having a countable basis, and those having no basis. The generating systems for classes from these examples consist of symmetric functions that take values from the set $\{0,1\}$ and equal to zero on tuples containing at least one zero component. Similar classes have been described in [4–6]. Criteria of basis existence and finite basis existence for these classes have been obtained. In [7] some closed classes, generated by symmetric periodic function with bounded period have been investigated. Criterium of finite basis existence has been obtain and it was shown that if such class has no finite basis, it has no any basis. This paper deals with closed classes of three-valued logic, generated by symmetric periodic functions with increasing period. Criteria of basis existence and finite basis existence has been obtained.

Let R be the set of all functions that take values from the set $\{0,1\}$ and equal zero on tuples containing at least one zero[1].

$$R = \{f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid ((\forall\widetilde{\alpha})((\widetilde{\alpha} \in \{0,1,2\}^n) \to (f(\widetilde{\alpha}) \in \{0,1\})))\& \\ ((\forall\widetilde{\alpha})((\widetilde{\alpha} \in \{0,1,2\}^n \backslash \{1,2\}^n) \to (f(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0)))\}$$

In this paper we deal with some subclasses of the class R. Any function that does not change with variable relabeling is called symmetric. Denote by S the set of all symmetric functions from R. The set of all tuples that can be obtained from each other by component permutation is called a layer. With $\mathcal{L}(e,d)$ we denote a layer from $\{1,2\}^n$ containing e 1s

*National Research University Higher School of Economics

[1] All necessary definitions can be found in [2, 4–6].

and d 2s. Let $N_f = \{\widetilde{\alpha} \in E_3^n \mid f(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 1\}$. With $i_s(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ we denote a function from R such that $N_{i_s} = \{1, 2\}^s$. Let

$$I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{i_n\}.$$

Let Φ be a formula over R, Φ_1 be subformula of the formula Φ, Φ_1 realize function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Subformula Φ_1 is called essential if $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not equivalent to $i_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Let Φ be formula over R. Denote by $\Theta(\Phi)$ the set of all functions $g(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

1. There exist subformula Φ_1 of the formula Φ that has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over R.

2. Replacement the subformula Φ_1 by the formula $i_m(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$ in the formula Φ gives a formula that is not equivalent to the formula Φ.

A function f, $f \in S$ is called periodic with period equal t if there exist e_f, d_f, $e_f + d_f = n$, $0 \leq d_f < t$ such that

$$N_f = \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{L}(e_f - it, d_f + it), \text{ where } s = \left] \frac{n - d_f}{t} \right[.$$

Denote by t_f period of the function f. Denote by PS the set of all periodic symmetric non-zero functions. Denote by PS^t the set of all periodic symmetric functions with period t. Let

$$PS^{(t)} = \bigcup_{i \leq t} PS^i.$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, p is prime number. Then let

$$PS^{[p]} = \bigcup_{i \leq t} PS^{p^i}.$$

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ are prime numbers. Then let

$$PS^{[p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s]} = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0, \ldots, j_s \geq 0} PS^{p_1^{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_s^{j_s}}.$$

Property 1. *Let $f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $f_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $\ldots$, $f_s(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS$, $d_{f_1} = d_{f_2} = \ldots = d_{f_s} = 0$, $h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$, $h \not\equiv 0$, $N_h = N_{f_1} \cap N_{f_2} \cap \ldots \cap N_{f_s}$. Then $h \in PS$ and $t_h = lcm(t_{f_1}, t_{f_2}, \ldots, t_{f_s})$.*

Property 2. *Let $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

1. $i_l(i_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{l+m-1}) = i_{l+m-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{l+m-1})$.

2. $i_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = i_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$.

3. $i_m \in [\{i_n\}]$.

4. $I = [I]$.

5. $I = [\{i_n\}]$.

Statement 3. *Let Φ be a formula over R; Φ_1 be a subformula of Φ. Then for any tuple $\widetilde{\alpha}$ the equality $\Phi_1(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$ implies the equality $\Phi(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose d is the depth of Φ, d_1 is the depth of Φ_1. Let Φ have the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$.

The proof is by induction on $d - d_1$. For $d - d_1 = 0$, the proof is trivial. Let $d - d_1 = 1$. Then $\Phi_1 = \mathcal{B}_t$, $1 \leq t \leq m$. Since $g \in R$, $\mathcal{B}_t(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$ implies $\Phi(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$.

Let $d - d_1 > 1$. Then Φ_1 is a subformula of $\mathcal{B}_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq m$. Denote by d_2 the depth of $\mathcal{B}_t$. Then $d_2 - d_1 < d - d_1$. By the inductive assumtion $\mathcal{B}_t(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$. Note that $d - d_2 < d - d_1$. Then by the inductive assumtion $\Phi(\widetilde{\alpha}) = 0$.

□

Corollary 4. *If a formula over R equals 1 on a tuple, then any subformula of the formula also equals 1 on the same tuple.*

Corollary 5. *If Φ is a formula over R and Φ_1 is a subformula of formula Φ then $N_\Phi \subset N_{\Phi_1}$.*

Statement 6. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, there exist tuple $\widetilde{\alpha} \in N_f$ such that $0 < |\widetilde{\alpha}| < n$. Let Φ be formula over R that realize function f, Φ_1 be subformula of the formula Φ, Φ_1 has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over R. Suppose that among the formulas $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ there are q_1 symbols of variable x_1, q_2 symbols of varialbe x_2, …, q_n symbols of variable x_n. Then for any i, j, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $q_i - q_j = lr$.*

The proof is based on Corollary 5 and the fact that function does not change with variables relabeling.

Corollary 7. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, Φ be formula over R that realize function f, Φ_1 be subformula of the formula Φ, Φ_1 has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over R. If among the formulas $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ there are exactly $k_i r$ symbols of the variable x_i for some i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, k_i, $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then Φ_1 is equivalent to the formula $i_m(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$.*

Corollary 8. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, Φ be formula over R that realize function f. Then there exists at least one subformula Φ_1 of the formula Φ that has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over R and among the formulas $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ there are q_1 symbols of variable x_1, q_2 symbols of varialbe x_2, …, q_n symbols of variable x_n, such that $q_i > 0$ and q_i is not divisible by r for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Corollary 9. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, Φ be formula over R that realize function f, Φ_1 be essential subformula of the formula Φ, Φ_1 has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over PS. Then for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, there is symbol of the variable x_i among $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$.*

Corollary 10. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, $G \subset PS^t$, $f \in [G]$. Then there exist function $g(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in G$ such that $m \geq n$. At that if $m = n$ then f is congruent to g.*

Statement 11. *Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$ Φ be formula over PS that realize function f, Φ_1 be essential subformula of the formula Φ, Φ_1 does not have other essential subformulas, Φ_1 has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over PS. Let formula Φ_1 realize function $h(x_1, \ldots, x_l)$. Then $h \in PS^w$ for some $w > 1$ and r is divisible by r.*

The proof follows from Corollary 5 and definition of the symmetric periodic functions.

Corollary 12. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in PS^t$, $t > 1$, Φ be formula over PS that realize function f, Φ_1 be essential subformula of the formula Φ, that realize function h. Then $h \in PS^w$ for some w and t is divisible by w.*

Corollary 13. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in PS^{[p]}$ $p > 1$, t be simple number, Φ be formula over PS that realize function f, Φ_1 be essential subformula of the formula Φ, that has the form $g(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m)$, where $g \in PS^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$ are formulas over PS. Then Φ_1 realize f and $f \in [\{g\} \cup I]$ and $t_f = t_g$.*

Statement 14. *For all $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in PS^t$ it holds*

$$f \in [PS^r \cup I] \Leftrightarrow r \text{ is divisible by } t.$$

The proof is based on Corollary 12 and definition of the symmetric periodic functions.

Lemma 15. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in PS$, $\{(1^m), (2^m)\} \not\subset N_g$, $t_f > 1$, $d_f + t_f \leq n$. Then*

1. *$f \in [\{g\}]$ iff*
   - *$\exists t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t = \frac{t_g}{t_f}$;*
   - *d_g is divisible by $t \cdot (d_f, t_f)$;*
   - *$m = qn + st_g$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < t_g$ such that $d_g + kt_g = qd_f$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*
   - *q is divisible by t.*
2. *$f \in [\{g\} \cup I]$ iff*
   - *$\exists t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t = \frac{t_g}{t_f}$;*
   - *d_g is divisible by $t \cdot (d_f, t_f)$;*
   - *$m \geq qn$ where $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < t_g$ such that $d_g + kt_g = qd_f$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*
   - *q is divisible by t.*

The proof is based on Statements 6 and 7.

Lemma 16. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in PS$, $\{(1^m), (2^m)\} \subset N_g$, $t_f > 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *$f \in [\{g\}]$;*
2. *$f \in [\{g\} \cup I]$;*
3. *$d_f = 0$, $\frac{t_g}{t_f}$ is the whole number, $m \geq \frac{t_g}{t_f} \cdot n$.*

Since $i_2 \in [\{g\}]$ the proof follows from Lemma 15.

Lemma 17. *Let $G \subseteq PS^{[p]}$, $\forall f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G$ $d_f = 0$, $\exists g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in G$, $(2^m) \in N_g$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $[G]$ *has basis.*

2. $[G]$ *has finite basis.*

To prove the lemma it is enough to show that for every function $f \in G$ the set $G_f = \{h \in G \mid h \notin [\{f, g\}] \text{ and } f \notin [\{h, g\}]\}$ contains finite number of non-congruent functions. Indeed, Lemma 15 implies that if $f \in [\{h, g\}] \backslash [\{g\}]$, then either $n_h > n_f$ and $\frac{n_f}{t_f} > \frac{n_h}{t_h}$ or $n_h < n_f$. Obviously, there are finite number of such functions. Moreover, for any function $f \in PS$ the set $PS \cap [\{f\}]$ contains only finite number of non-congruent functions.

Lemma 18. *Let $G \subseteq PS^{[p]}$, $\forall f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G$ $d_f = 0$, $\exists g(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in G$, $(2^m) \in N_g$, G is infinite, G not contain congruent functions. Let $F \subset PS$, $G \subset P$. Then F has no basis.*

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 17.

Theorem 19. *Let p be prime number, $G \subset PS^{[p]}$, G not contain congruent functions, $F = [G]$. Then*

1. *Class F has a finite basis iff $G \backslash I$ is finite.*

2. *Class F has an infinite basis iff $G \backslash I$ is infinite and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of all functions f such that $\frac{t_f}{(d_f, t_f)} = p^t$ is finite.*

3. *Class F has no basis iff there exitst $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that set of all functions f such that $\frac{t_f}{(d_f, t_f)} = p^t$ is infinite.*

Proof. The statement 1 of the Theorem follows from Lemma 10.

Let us prove the statement 2 of the Theorem. Let F have infinite basis $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $G_0 = \{g \in G \mid d_g = 0\}$. It follows from Lemma 18 that G_0 is finite.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ and formula Υ_f realize function f over G. Let Φ is arbitrary formula over A. Denote by $\pi(\Phi)$ formula over G that is obtained from formula Φ by replacement every function f by corresponding formula Υ_f. Let $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \{f \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \exists g \in G_0 \cap \Theta(\Upsilon_f)\}$. It can be proved that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is finite. Hence, using Lemma 18 we obtain that $(G \backslash (G \cap [\mathfrak{A} \backslash \mathfrak{A}_0] \cup I))$ contains finite number of non-congruent functions.

Let $I \subset F$ (case $I \not\subset F$ is similar). Let

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{g \in G \mid \forall g' \in G((g \in [\{g'\} \cup I]) \Rightarrow (g' \in [\{g\} \cup I]))\}.$$

It is easily shown that for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\mathfrak{B} \cap PS^{[p^s]}$ is finite.

Consider $f \in \mathfrak{A} \backslash \mathfrak{A}_0$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is basis there exist function $g \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)$ such that $g \notin [\mathfrak{A} \backslash \{f\}]$. It is easily shown that formula Υ_f contains subformula that has the form $g(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m})$, such that $i_j \neq i_k$ for $j \neq k$. If there are at least two non-congruent functions g_1, g_2 such that $g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $g_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)$ and $g_1, g_2 \notin [\mathfrak{A} \backslash \{f\}]$, then there are formulas Φ_1 and Φ_2 over $\mathfrak{A}$ that realize functions g_1 and g_2 respectively and $g_1 \in \Theta(\pi(\Phi_2))$ and $g_2 \in \Theta(\pi(\Phi_1))$. Statement 10 implies that $m = n$. Since $N_{g_1} = N_{g_2}$ and functions g_1 and g_2 are symmetric, $g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Denote by g_f functions $g \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)$ such that $g \notin [\mathfrak{A} \backslash \{f\}]$. Moreover, all simple subformula of the formula Υ_f have the form $g(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m})$, $i_j \neq i_k$ for all $1 \leq i, k \leq m$.

If there exist function g' such that $g \in [\{g'\} \cup I]$, $g' \notin [\{g\} \cup I]$, then $g' \notin [\mathfrak{A} \backslash \{f\}]$. Indeed, it was shown above that there for every function $f \in \mathfrak{A} \backslash \mathfrak{A}_0$ there is only one function

$g \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)$ such that $g \notin [\mathfrak{A}\backslash\{f\}]$. Hence, $f \in [\mathfrak{A}\backslash\{f\} \cup \{g'\}] = [\mathfrak{A}\backslash\{f\}]$. That contradicts definition of basis. Hence, $g \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Consider $h \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)\backslash\mathfrak{B}$. Since $g_f \notin \mathfrak{A}\backslash\{f\}$, formula Φ_g that realize function g_f contains subformula of the form $f(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_n})$. Hence, formula $\pi(\Phi_g)$ contains subformula of the form $h(\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_s)$. Statement 6, Property 1 and Lemma 15 implies that $g_f \in [\{h\} \cup I]$. That contradicts relation $g_f \in \mathfrak{B}$. Hence, $\Theta(\Upsilon_f) \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Consider $h \in G \cap [\mathfrak{A}\backslash\mathfrak{A}_0]$. Let formula Φ_h realize function h over $\mathfrak{A}$. Consider formula $\pi(\Phi_h)$. Corollary 13 implies that there is function $g \in \Theta(\pi(\Phi_g))$ such that $h \in [\{g\} \cup I]$. It can be easily checked that there is function $f \in \Theta(\Phi_h)$ such that $g \in \Theta(\Upsilon_f)$. Since $\Theta(\Upsilon_f) \in \mathfrak{B}$, and the set $(G\backslash(G \cap [\mathfrak{A}\backslash\mathfrak{A}_0] \cup I))$ contains finite number of non-congruent functions, for any function $h' \in G$ there exist funciton $g' \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $h' \in [\{g\} \cup I]$.

It can be easily proved that the set $\mathfrak{B}$ or $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{i_2\}$ is basis of the class F. Since $G\backslash I$ is infinite, the basis is also infinite.

Using Lemma 15 it can be prooved that for any function $h' \in G$ there exist funciton $g' \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $h' \in [\{g\} \cup I]$ iff for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of all function f such that $\frac{t_f}{(d_f, t_f)} = p^t$ is finite.

The statement 3 follows from statements 1 and 2 of the Theorem.

□

This study (research grant No 14-01-0144) supported by The National Research University — Higher School of Economics' Academic Fund Program in 2014/2015.

References

[1] E. L. Post, The Two-Valued Iterative Systems of Mathematical Logic, *Annals of Math. Studies.*, **5**, Princeton Univ. Press (1941). 122 p.

[2] D. Lau, *Function Algebras on Finite Sets*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer (2006). 668 p.

[3] Yu. I. Yanov and A. A. Muchnik, Existence of k-Valued Closed Classes Having no Finite Basis, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **127**, 44–46 (1959) (In Russian)

[4] A. V. Mikhailovich, Closed Classes Generated by Symmetric Functions in the Three-Valued Logic, *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin*, **63** (4), 168–170 (2008).

[5] A. V. Mikhailovich, Classes Generated by Monotone Symmetric Functions in the Three-Valued Logic, *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin*, **64** (1), 34–38 (2009).

[6] A. V. Mikhailovich, Closed Classes of the Three-Valued Logic Generated by Systems Containing Symmetric Functions, *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin*, **67** (1), 41–45 (2012).

[7] A. V. Mikhailovich, On Closed Classes of Functions in P_3 Generated by Periodic Symmetric Functions, *Vestnik of Lobachevsky University of Nizhni Novgorod*, **1** (1), 208–212 (2013) (In Russian)